UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,403	02/21/2007	Karl-Heinz Minuth	095309.57633US	1402	
	911 7590 07/28/2008 ROWELL & MORING LLP			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ALEX, JAMES S		
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,403	MINUTH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES ALEX	3636
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 10-12 and 14-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdre 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-12 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subjection Papers	rawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deposition of the drawing not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Equation is objected.	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 14-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: they depend on a canceled claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10-12, 14-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haupt et al. US 20020096915 in view of Suzuki et al. US 6062641.

Re claims 10-12, 14-19, 21, Haupt discloses a series of components for an actively ventilated (by means of a fan 16) motor vehicle seat comprising: a cushion core 18a, a plurality of intersecting regularly arranged ventilation ducts 56 running along and inside a seat surface and having constant cross section (Figs. 8 and 9 clearly show the ducts in a grid pattern, and the grid pattern is further disclosed in Par [0037], since a grid is generally understood to mean a pattern with perpendicular intersections as seen in the figures, the cross sections of these ducts would be constant in at least some

Application/Control Number: 10/577,403 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

plane for at least for a portion of the duct), and a plurality of ventilation channels 34 arranged transversely to the ducts only in regions with ventilation provided, having a constant cross section (Figs. 8 and 9 show the ventilation channel in the shape of a circle having a constant cross section; Fig. 8 is disclosed as an elevational view, and since channel 34 is a circle from a vertical view point it is undeniably of constant cross section), penetrating the entire thickness of the cushion core (see Fig. 9; the channel penetrates the entire thickness of the cushion core where it is located) and extending from the ventilation ducts as far as a rear wall facing away from at least one of the seat surface and backrest surface (they extend to rear (bottom) wall of 18a facing away from the seat surface), and the ventilation ducts are configured as a duct grill (see Fig. 9) to intersect in a connected manner in terms of flow.

Haupt does not disclose that a predetermined arrangement of at least one of the ventilation ducts and channels in the cushion core defines regions ventilated to different extends adapted to a ventilation requirement of a standard vehicle occupant depending on a body pressure distribution and/or body contact points, or that the ventilation ducts are closed in regions in which no ventilation is provided

Suzuki teaches a similar ventilation device which includes ducts in a predetermined arrangement in the cushion core defining regions ventilated to different extents depending on an occupant (Column 3, lines 51-54) depending on a body pressure distribution and/or body contact points (see Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 56-62; Col. 4, lines 43-46), with the ducts being closed in regions in which no ventilation is provided in

order to provide optimal ventilation where the standard vehicle occupant requires it, and not provide excess ventilation in regions that do not require it based on the user.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the seat disclosed by Haupt by arranging the ducts in a predetermined arrangement defining regions ventilated to different extents depending on an occupant's body pressure and/or body contact points, and not provide excess ventilation in regions that do not require it based on the user, as taught by Suzuki in order to provide more or less ventilation based on the needs of an occupant, improving efficiency and comfort.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haupt et al. US 20020096915 in view of Suzuki et al. US 6062641 as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Steinmeier US 6546578.

Re claim 20, Haupt modified with a teaching from Suzuki disclose the invention according to claim 10.

Haupt does not disclose that the vehicle seat is a passively ventilated vehicle seat. Steinmeier teaches the use of a ventilated vehicle seat that is passively ventilated (CoI 2, lines 13-21) for good climate comfort as well as being more economical and simple than ventilated seats with active means. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the series of components

disclosed by haupt by using passive ventilation similar to the system taught by Steinmeier to save energy, thus reducing cost of use and manufacture.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 04/07/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 10-21 under USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Haupt et al. US 20020096915 in view of Suzuki et al. US 6062641 (claims 10-12, 14-19, 21) and Haupt et al. US 20020096915 in view of Suzuki et al. US 6062641 in view of Steinmeier US 6546578 (claim 20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES ALEX whose telephone number is (571)270-3740. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 7:30 am to 5:00 pm; F, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dunn David can be reached on (571) 272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,403 Page 6

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David Dunn/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636

JA 07/22/08